

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,707	07/03/2001	Thomas Zickell	NEI-010XX	2439	
Bourque & As	7590 03/20/2009 sociates, P.A.	EXAMINER			
Suite 303		AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER			
835 Hanover S Manchester, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Titule lie ster, 1	11 05 10 1		1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/898,707	ZICKELL, THOMAS		
Examiner	Art Unit		
WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	1794		

WALTER B.	AUGHENBAUGH	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	ver sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	N IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The periods:</li> </ol>	amendment, affidavi Il fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final reject b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MC.	or (2) the date set forth DNTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	in.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHEC MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	K BOX (b) WHEN THE	FIRST REPLY WAS FII	.ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the pet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	corresponding amount ory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on	CED 41 37 must be	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (3 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time p	37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since a
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			cause
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appage appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	peal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached.	ad Nation of Nan Co		DTOL 224)
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	ed Notice of Nort-Co	mpilant Amendment (i	-10L-324).
Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if subnon-allowable claim(s).	nitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) will not be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			ha automat
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Ap entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> re showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not of</li> </ol>	jections under appea earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail: se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT plants See Continuation Sheet.	ace the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) P 13.  Other:	aper No(s)		

/Walter B Aughenbaugh / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Examiner noties that McGroatry teaches the concept of "utilizing," a minimum amount of adhesive" (col. 9, lines 34-36). Examiner notes that the system disclosed by McGroatry is taught as suitable for nording materials (see, for example, ool. 3, lines 6-20 and 27-35; col. 10, lines 35-38) and that astylicate is disclosed by McGroatry as a suitable material for the adhesive of McGroatry (ool. 6, lines 9-11), so one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized to have looked to McGroatry for a teaching of how to modify the asphalt modify gives taught by Kennepohi et al. and impson et al.

WBA 3/13/08